

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/660,568	09/11/2000	David Ralph	UROC:014USD1	1840
75	90 02/13/2003			
Richard A Nakashima			EXAMINER	
Fulbright & Jaworski LLP 600 Congress Avenue Suite 1900		MCGARR	MCGARRY, SEAN	
Austin, TX 78701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•			•			
Office Action Summary	09/660,568	RALPH ET AL.				
Onice Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Sean R McGarry	vith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	Jears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi , cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .					
2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	with from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) 8-26, 64 and 65 are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requ	romant				
Application Papers	ion and/or election requ	rement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep		the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has	been received.				
Attachment(s)		33 1-0 4114131 12 11				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Art Unit: 1635

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 8-20, and 64, drawn to a method of detecting a disease state by detecting a quantity of a disease marker where the marker is mRNA, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- II. Claims 8, 13-16, 18, 21-26 and 65, drawn to a method of detecting a disease stae by detecting a quantity of a disease marker where the marker is a protein, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.

Claims 8, 13-16 and 18 are generic claims and will be examined to the extent that they read on the elected subject matter.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are different method that require different method steps that utilize materially different compounds. The method of group I includes the detection of nucleic acids and the method of Group II require the detection of a protein, for example. One in the art does not use interchangeable compounds or method steps in the detection of protein and nucleic acid since these compounds are different chemical structures with different chemical and biological properties where

Art Unit: 1635

these chemical properties and activities require different means of detection, for example.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The diseases recited in claim 13. The sequences recited in claims 17, 20 and 22.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species [one diease and 1 sequence] for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Art Unit: 1635

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean R McGarry whose telephone number is (703)305-7028. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader can be reached on (703) 308-0447. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1635

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SRM February 11, 2003

> SEAN MCGARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER